SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/09 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Daytona Beach

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$9,570,034

PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1992

| · | • | | | | | |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | |
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 31 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.74 % | 95.37 % | 22 | 96.45 % | 23 | 23 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 80.74 % | 84.65 % | 23 | 87.93 % | 18 | 15 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.78 | 6.6 | 23 | 4.73 | 18 | 15 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 98.31 % | 83.89 % | 13 | 83.38 % | 41 | 51 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 94.68 % | 58.71 % | 2 | 70.15 % | 89 | 92 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 46.67 % | 74.60 % | 25 | 80.67 % | 5 | 3 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 13.33 % | 37.60 % | 24 | 45.30 % | 8 | 5 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 95.89 % | 1 | 95.32 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 17 / 31 | Nation | ally: 26 | 23 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | l Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$46,556 | \$18,669 | | \$26,635 | 30 Units | 5.70 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$8,502 | \$18,487 | | \$14,938 | 311 Units | 59.50 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$21,653 | \$28,220 | | \$20,675 | 182 Units | 34.80 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,621 | | \$3,216 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (295 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units FL Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Daytona Beach Homebuyer **Total Development Costs:** Rental Homeowner 0.2 % **CHDO Operating Expenses:** PJ: (average reported cost per unit in (% of allocation) 1.1 % **National Avg:** PJ: \$78,459 \$68,180 \$35,329 **HOME-assisted projects**) \$67,226 \$95,489 \$35,546 State:* 0.77 R.S. Means Cost Index: National:** \$95,185 \$74,993 \$23,434

| | | • | Homeowner | TBRA | | | Homebuyer | Homeowner | TBRA |
|--|------|------|-----------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| RACE: | % | % | % | % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | % | % | % | % |
| White: | 16.7 | 24.1 | 7.1 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 30.0 | 27.3 | 14.8 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 83.3 | 72.7 | 75.8 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 0.0 | 3.2 | 76.9 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 1.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 40.0 | 45.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 23.3 | 21.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 6.7 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL | ASSISTAN | | | |
| 1 Person: | 16.7 | 22.5 | 60.4 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 13.3 | 0.6 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 20.0 | 23.5 | 25.8 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 |) | | |
| 3 Persons: | 40.0 | 20.6 | 5.5 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 10.0 | 17.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 86.7 | 7 | | |
| 5 Persons: | 6.7 | 10.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 3.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant | Units / Co | ompleted Un | its Since 200 | 1 120 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM **SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Group Rank: Daytona Beach State: FL 26 **Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):** (Percentile)

State Rank:

Overall Rank: 23 (Percentile)

Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags **Summary:**

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 76.20% | 98.31 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 50.90% | 94.68 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 46.67 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.88% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | /EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.550 | 3.27 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.